

Health Innovation Community Partnership

June 5, 2020 Meeting Summary

Attendees (55 total via Zoom): Dulce Acosta, Cecilia Aguilar, Pamela Agustín, Pilar Alatorre, Monica Alcaraz, Rosa Barahona, Manuel Bernal, Greg Bonett, Maria Brenes, Katie Cox, Parisa Dadmehr, Cesar Diaz, Yolanda Duarte, Guadalupe Duran-Medina, Rudy Espinoza, Jazmin Flores, Eliza Fraga, David Galaviz, José Gardea, Karen Glenn, Natalie Godinez, Lorena Gomez, Ari Gutierrez, Christina Gutierrez, Heather Hays, Eduardo Ibarra, Wendy Knight, Anthony Lara, Dalila Lopez, Ozzie Lopez, Leticia Loya, Andrea Marchetti, Ivan Matthews, Juana Mena, Andy Moey, Rafael Nava, Teresa Nuno, Anthony Nyivih, Jorge Orozco, Anna Parra, Kelly Quinn, Alicia Ramos, Ruby Rivera, Rosalind Sagara, Ayala Scott, Rosa Soto, Edgar Solis, Tana Teichiera, Victoria Torres, Juliana Vidal, Alex Villalobos, Frank Villalobos, Isidro Villanueva, Brenda Wiewel, Garrett Zabel, and 7 additional callers with unidentified phone numbers.

Agenda Item	Discussion Highlights
I. Welcome, Introductions, and Meeting Overview	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● Heather Hays and Anna Parra welcomed the group to the Zoom meeting and reviewed the agenda. They led a brief Zoom webinar orientation, including how to ask questions using chat or audio and how to access Spanish-English interpretation.● The Meeting Agenda and Presentation were provided in an email to participants.● Rosa Soto: As we recognize the events of the last week, including the protests against police violence during the continuing pandemic, we are called to respond to the needs of members. Our agenda today reflects this call. We will be hearing from frontline leaders on COVID-19, and from Guadalupe with words from our Supervisor Hilda Solis. Pam will be facilitating a community conversation about these events and our response as HICP.
II. Community Conversation	<p>Community Conversation on Emerging Issues and HICP Role and Response - Pamela Agustin, Eastside LEADS and Guadalupe Duran-Medina, Office of Supervisor Hilda L. Solis</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Guadalupe Duran-Medina:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ During the last ten days, we have seen the tragedy of continued incidents of police brutality and racial injustice. We know a lot of our communities are subject to this threat. On behalf of Supervisor Solis, I will share part of a statement she issued this week.○ “George Floyd’s death was unjust and wrong. His killing was an inhumane act of brutality, and we must stand united to denounce his passing. I share your pain and frustration. It is wrong that our communities of color are treated differently on account of race and ethnicity, and this plays out every day in their lack of access to quality healthcare and housing and in their interactions with our criminal justice system. We need to push for true police accountability and advocate for meaningful investments in our marginalized communities to honor George Floyd: an unarmed African American man who died after enduring unconscionable police violence. Too many individuals from our communities of color have had their lives cut short across the country and throughout Los Angeles County, and we should not stay silent. Because of Los Angeles County’s unique history and diversity, police brutality and harassment have impacted all of our communities of color. We need to push for true accountability. Our black and brown families should not live

in fear of being racially profiled when they go out for a walk or a jog in our neighborhoods. This pandemic and economic crisis have upended our lives. I am certain we will get through this difficult moment together, which is why I urge all of you to give voice to George Floyd's life, and the lives of all other black and brown people who were taken from us too soon due to police brutality. We must advocate for the reforms we know we need in policing, in our criminal justice system, and in our healthcare system."

- We look to you to provide us with ideas and solutions to continue to address these injustices. Thank you for your leadership. We are here to work together

- **Maria Brenes:**

- Like for so many, it has been a difficult time.
- Young people have been peacefully demonstrating and ensuring their voices are heard around the globe standing up for Black lives. I am proud of all the Latinx people standing in solidarity with Black allies and Black organizations in LA County. I urge all of us to support them to demonstrate and exercise their first amendment rights.
- It has been challenging to demonstrate under curfew. Many in the community have been cited in their travel back home, and we are supporting them. We know how important it is to continue this work.
- From all the organizing, especially from Black-led organizations, we are starting to see shifts. Defunding LAPD and reinvesting in our communities is an important first step.
- Let lend our voices to the chorus demanding change, for a greater safety net for our communities.
- This is a hard time, but it is also inspiring. I feel hopeful for positive change.

- **Monica Alcaraz:**

- I want to say that people on the streets are very vulnerable. Someone who is homeless in the Wilshire got caught in the crossfire and was hit in the eye while a protest was happening.
- People are getting arrested or cited who have nowhere to go if they are not in their tents, but many don't have tents.
- This is a cause and it's a very important cause, but we need to look out for those people. I don't know how to put them in a zone where we can separate them, so they are not getting caught in the middle of what's happening. We have to speak up, we have to call out our friends in positions of power.

- **Alex Villalobos:**

- In 1992, during the response to the Rodney King beating, I didn't feel it as much as I have felt the events of the last few days. Despite how young I was and the difference between my life then and my life now as a father, I can see that all of this is in response to these ongoing issues.
- Despite the motivations that people are having, be they positive in demand of systemic change, or ne'er do wells, it goes to show we have a greater issue at hand. I hope to participate in making it better.
- I pray for colleagues and friends, and hope everyone is safe and secure. I pray those at the forefront and frontlines demanding positive change achieve their goals. I pray those goals are met permanently.

- **Heather Hays:** As we reflect on the challenges still ahead, let us bring our personal reflections on the experiences of the last week and how it can guide our responses going forward.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Yolanda Duarte: In 1992, I was the Development Director of the Tomás Rivera Center... I feel that our youth are the ones paying this price for our inability to make change. I appreciate the Supervisor’s comments; it was a timely, needed, hard and sad message. I am so proud that we have her leadership there in front to walk with us, because that hasn't always been the case over the years, of leadership with heart, knowledge and foresight. ● Teresa Nuno: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ I've really been moved... I am locked down at home. I can empathize with a lot of the voices I’ve heard, even as recently as this week, from those individuals who can't leave their house. ○ I want to put it out there, as many have said, given my age and tenure, I can feel what's happening around us from the 70s. Alex and others mentioned the persistence and rootedness of some of the issues. ○ There is a need for something more persistent, and real change we can all stand behind and push for. Our partnership has an opportunity to be a part of that. ○ Yesterday I was part of a webinar that allowed students to do exactly what we’re doing right now. I heard their fear and frustration, their emotional breakdown, as students said how to incorporate learning from all this and what is around us in our life. <p>This conversation was paused when Jorge Orozco joined the call, to be continued after the LAC-USC Medical Center Update.</p>
<p>III. LAC+USC Medical Center Update</p>	<p>LAC-USC Medical Center Update by Jorge Orozco, LAC+USC Medical Center</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● COVID-19 Updates <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The hospital currently has over 90 pts with COVID, the highest we’ve ever had. ○ We went on divergence last night, which means we closed the emergency room to ambulance traffic in an attempt to decrease the caseload. ○ Even though we've been in this for a few months, the virus is still out there, it is still getting people really sick. There is a lot of community fatigue with social distancing, masks, etc. As things are starting to open, we are seeing many more patients. We are worried. ● White Coats 4 Black Lives Action <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ I would like to extend an invitation to an event at 12 pm today, on the steps of the General Hospital. ○ We are having a memorial to address systemic racism and social injustice in our institutions and social injustice. ○ The action is led by a group of residents here who are part of the White Coats 4 Black Lives Movement. ○ There will be many employees out there, observing social distancing, and the program will be brief. <p>Questions and Comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Maria Brenes: What can you tell us about the racial, age, and gender composition of the 90 COVID patients? What does that tell us in terms of spread in the community? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ A: I don’t have that information in front of me, though we can look for an analysis. Our Medical Director and Epidemiologist are looking at that a daily basis. This crisis has revealed the disparities in our healthcare.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Alex Villalobos: You are seeing an increase in new cases, and a day ago, Dr. Ferrer brought up another 45 deaths and more cases across the county. I am wondering if there an anticipated further spike in the number of COVID cases locally. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Jorge Orozco: Looking at latest studies of antibodies in the general community estimate that between 5% to 8% of the population has been exposed. The difference between 8% and herd immunity, which is 60%-- that's a lot more infections. There is a fear of this second spike that may be worse than the first one as things begin to open up, through parties, protests, etc. Yes, Alex, we are fearful. ● Ari Gutierrez Arambula (via chat): "Is there a COVID infection prevention education and outreach effort through the Medical Center's case load, ER patients and visitors? Is there a COVID testing facility at the Medical Center?" <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Jorge Orozco: There is not currently outreach to the general community, though we are actively doing outreach to the Medical Center. Testing is still an issue for us. We are currently testing every admission to our hospital. We weren't always testing all admissions, but we had patients coming in after car accidents, and realized they would test positive. We are also testing everyone undergoing surgery, and all psych emergency room cases whether they are admitted or not. We are looking to expand testing to anyone coming in for other types of outpatient procedures. Employees have access to testing if they have symptoms. This is largely because if you are asymptomatic and you take a test, which are geared toward you having enough of these viral particles for the test to pick it up, testing while asymptomatic doesn't mean much. ● Frank Villalobos: You mentioned you shut the door to the portal entry to the General Hospital system. What is that going to do to adjacent hospitals? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Jorge Orozco: We are the largest safety net hospital in this county. Anytime we go on diversion, it has a significant impact on surrounding hospitals. Our understanding is that they have capacity for new patients, while we do not. We are looking to get off diversion as soon as possible, by discharging patients, bringing on more respiratory staff, and other measures.
II. Community Conversation, cont'd	<p><i>Following the LAC+USC Medical Center Update, the community conversation on police violence and recent protests resumed.</i></p> <p>Pam Agustín:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Thank you to all who spoke for comments. We are truly going through a historic, difficult moment. Let us all take a deep breath together. I invite all of you, for the next 20 minutes for this conversation, to please acknowledge comments of your peers with respect. Come in with an open heart. We are all experiencing this pandemic, but we know some communities are really hurting. ● During this pandemic, inequities in our communities, in this country, have surfaced. I want to remind everyone that today marks twelve days since the murder of George Floyd. Today would have been Breonna Taylor's 27th birthday. Nothing can bring back the lives that have been taken. This is important; it is at the center of the movement we are seeing in the country, county, city, and worldwide. ● I just want to also share personally, my heart goes out to the testimony of Monica. We have to walk in compassion and understanding with all members of our community.

- In this conversation, we want to reflect on what is the role of this partnership to guide development and community benefits. We need to reflect, listen to our Black brothers and sisters, hermanos, neighbors, friends, calling for action and solidarity. This is the beginning of a discussion, not the end of the discussion.
- The Movement 4 Black Lives has called for one week of action. Today, the demand to end the criminalization, incarceration, and killing of the Black community. Yesterday's demand was that the communities most impacted by these issues need to control the laws, institutions, and policies that are supposed to serve us. Read these demands; reflect on them personally for about a minute.
 - **Maria Brenes (via chat):** "Black Lives Matter"
 - **Rudy Espinoza (via chat):** "Community control means power and freedom, to me. There is no choice but to fully support community control."
 - **Monica Alcaraz (via chat):** "I am just very sad."
 - **Rosa Soto (via chat):** "Representation and engagement are vital to understanding."
 - **Frank Villalobos:** I want to bring up that Brown lives matter too. Even the incident at Hollenbeck that resulted in action toward the police -- that reaction takes our mind away from the society we are having today... Constitutional protections are sometimes being ignored.
 - **Pam Agustín:** Thank you Frank I, heard you reflecting on your thoughts on the inclusivity of this movement, and being a part of the Chicano movement of East LA... I took your comment, there is a connection between the Chicano movement and the movement we are seeing today, and how we can stand in solidarity.
 - **Rudy Espinoza (via chat):** "Frank, I invite you to join us in recognizing that saying that Black Lives Matter does not mean that we don't matter. It simply asks us to recognize the great injustices impacting our Black brothers and sisters. I'm in. Join us."
 - **Maria Brenes (via chat):** "I agree with Rudy! Solidarity is important!"
 - **Frank Villalobos:** With affirmative action, what happens is that we are slotted already, by design, into particular opportunities. There is a stagnation of economic development amongst those that have been at it a long time. Our brain power is stifled. Sharing with our own people is stifled. The expansion of the thought is way beyond the Black community. We saw that yesterday, when lowriders stood up to looters in East LA.
 - **Comment:** I think of the youth, and policies needed to support our schools that are antiquated. Schools are pathways to prisons.
 - **Andrea Marchetti:** My thoughts go to the families of Mr. Floyd and those who have lost to the hands of people who hide behind authority.
- **Pam Agustín:** How do the events of the past week influence your thinking about the role of HICP and the role HICP needs to take?
 - **Maria Brenes:** For me personally and in our organization, Inner City Struggle, we are drawing inspiration from the bold action being seen in the streets and across the world by Black-led organizations and movements. Similar to the Civil Rights Movement, we have benefited so much not just from the gains, but learning from the model of building power and organizing and creating leaders from within our own communities for self-determination. How do we draw inspiration and learn from the bold action? How

incredible that a month ago it would not have seemed possible that LA would have committed to cut the budget of LAPD by a significant amount. Like I said earlier, I think it's an important step forward for increasing the safety net through the work of our highest needs residents on the Eastside. I think we are in a historical moment, and we should leverage it.

- **Ruby Rivera (via chat):** “And I agree with Maria Brenes”
- **Monica Alcaraz:** As a collective voice, we should consider sending a letter to the City Council or Board of Supervisors. Do we support what BLM is asking for? At a minimum, we should consider sending a statement or letter to those in leadership.
 - **Yolanda Duarte (via chat):** “Our actions individually and as a collective group of agencies will speak louder than any statement we can make. We need to stand with the Black Lives movement and keep the institutions accountable. Equal rights, equal justice,
 - **Ari Gutierrez Arambula (via chat):** “Monica called for a formal letter of support to elected officials. That is a more specific action than just an open statement.
- **Ruby Rivera (via chat):** “I think that [there are] 2 things we need to do. 1) We say that we are in support of the People’s Budget, that we want LA City to take action in support of Black lives. 2) Make a REAL effort to center black experiences within our spaces... For example how many participants within the HICP space serve black community members, are black folks, etc.
 - **Natalie Godinez (via chat):** “Thank you Ruby 2) is so important.
- **Pam Agustín:** Our moderators are taking notes of all of these ideas. As a last question, should we continue to dedicate time for a larger conversation to continue discussing these demands and our role to bring them to fruition within this space?
 - A Zoom poll was circulated. In response to the question, “In light of this past week’s events, should HICP dedicate more time to discuss the issue of racial equality and social justice?” 15 out of 15 respondents indicated “Yes.”
 - In response to the question, “If Yes, would you prefer to continue the discussion in a larger session or in a smaller group?,” 10 out of 17 respondents said “Larger session,” while 7 out of 17 said “Smaller working group.”
 - **Ruby Rivera (via chat):** “We need to do more than discuss though.
 - **Ari Gutierrez Arambula (via chat):** “Our organizations are well versed and experienced in racial justice advocacy. We can leverage that experience by supporting and pressing policy and funding priorities.”
 - **Ruby Rivera (via chat):** “Ari I would be careful of that statement which is pretty general. I think we as organizations should take a moment to be truly introspective and see what are our opportunities for growth. But yes I agree that we need to take action to press the city and county in support for the policy and funding priorities that are currently demanded.
- **Pam Agustín:** It is a pleasure to work with you in this space and continue this conversation.
 - **Rudy Espinoza (via chat):** “Thank you Pam for holding space for this!”
 - **Greg Bonett (via chat):** “Yes - thank you Pam.
 - **Rosa Soto (via chat):** “Thank you Pam for stepping into leadership to hold this space.

IV. Inclusionary Housing Ordinance

[Updates on the County Ordinance and Implications for the East LA Region](#) by Ayala Scott, County of Los Angeles Department of Regional Planning

- **Background**
 - The Inclusionary Housing Ordinance requires that new housing developments of five or more units set aside some units for affordable housing. It does not change the type of housing that can be built.
 - The first public hearing for the ordinance was held April 29, 2020. The Regional Planning Commission recommended approval of the ordinance with some changes, indicated in this handout [summarizing](#) the draft Inclusionary Housing Ordinance (circulated to attendees via email).
- **Feasibility Studies**
 - In order to determine how many units the county would need to set aside, two studies were commissioned--one in 2018 and 2020--to see how many units could be sold at below the market rate and still be feasible for the developer to move ahead. The studies looked at what household income level units would have to be affordable to, what types of units they should be, etc.
 - The county is a huge geographic area, so the studies broke it up into six submarkets including the East LA/ Gateway submarket.
 - **2018 Study**
 - Considered rental and for-sale properties
 - Based on a 100-unit prototype
 - Assessed feasibility of affordable housing for lower and moderate income
 - Recommended set-asides except for condos in Antelope Valley and a couple other areas
 - **2020 Study**
 - Considered rental only
 - Based on a 20-unit prototype
 - Assessed feasibility of affordable housing for extremely low, very low, lower, and moderate income
- **Limits of Inclusionary Housing Ordinance**
 - The 2020 study found that construction of affordable housing in new, 20-unit market-rate rental was not financially feasible in unincorporated East LA, South LA, or Antelope Valley. This means the Inclusionary Housing Ordinance will not apply in these areas.
 - Condos and single-family homes in East LA would still have to provide affordable set-asides.
 - Even though developers wouldn't be required to, they could choose to provide affordable housing.
 - The ordinance requires the county to update set aside requirements and boundaries periodically. Staff clarified that these can be updated sooner.
 - The Regional Planning Commission expressed a desire to have inclusionary housing in East and South LA, but did not recommend changing the ordinance to do that.
- **Fulfillment Options**
 - On-site
 - Off-site

- Within an unincorporated area and within one-quarter mile of the principal project site;
 - Within a Highest, High, or Moderate Resource Area (same or higher)
 - Within an area with known displacement risk based on evidence to the satisfaction of the Department (within 2 miles of principal project); or
 - Developed as part of a community land trust
- **Affordable Housing Preservation Ordinance**
 - This is coming up for consideration. The first hearing will be held July 29 in front of the Regional Planning Commission.
 - This ordinance would require that when a new development comes in, any affordable housing that currently or recently exists on the site must be replaced 1-for-1.
 - CA state law says this, but is set to expire in 2025. We want to expand this state law to include condos and non-residential housing, and we want these protections in our local code. The RPC website has a [policy summary](#) there.

Questions and Comments

- **Rudy Espinoza (via chat):** “WOW! This is surprising. No inclusionary zoning on the Eastside? Curious about how ‘financially feasible’ is defined?”
 - **Ayala Scott:** This ordinance covers new construction from the ground up. The studies modeled financial feasibility, like expected returns on cost for developers for market-rate projects. Feasibility is not about whether it breaks even, but whether it turns a profit, because this is for market-rate not non-profit housing. It estimated return on cost and expected rates of return as benchmarks for financial feasibility. The 2020 study modeled prototypes of 20 units, which is more analogous to what is available in unincorporated LA County. Many of the ground-up projects, based on construction permit history, tend to be smaller in scale: about 2-4 units for ground-up construction of market rate (not affordable) housing, so generally below the 5-unit threshold that this ordinance would apply to.
 - **Rudy Espinoza (via chat):** “Hmmm... ‘rates of return’ for whom? Often, developers have unreasonable expectations of return. We need to be open to thinking about creative ways to build housing. We can’t keep doing things the same way.”
- **Kelly Quinn:** Can you repeat the results of the study again?
 - **Ayala Scott:** The 2020 study found affordable rental set-asides would not be feasible for Antelope Valley and unincorporated South and East LA. However, it does include recommendations for set-asides for for-sale recommendations for condos and single-family projects of five units or more.
- **Natalie Godinez (via chat):** “What about something that benefits the community? We need affordable housing in the Eastside.”
- **Monica Alcaraz (via chat):** “Do they see that this is why our communities are vulnerable for displacement and not having these protections is not acceptable?”
- **Maria Brenes (via chat):** “It is outrageous that Eastside is excluded - undermines all of our work! Why is Eastside excluded?”

- **Maria Brenes:** It is concerning to me that we were not fully aware of such a significant decision for our community, in unincorporated East LA; we see the vulnerabilities created by housing insecurity. Perhaps in the projection based on reality now, inclusionary zoning wasn't seen as a priority, but as keepers of community need, we need to project and always plan ahead to ensure there is protection. We see how quickly material conditions change. Reality now could not have been predicted a year ago -- housing has shifted dramatically in the last few months. This gap could exacerbate housing insecurity issues we are seeing on the ground. What opportunities do we see to leverage? What research do we need to line up?
 - **Ayala Scott:** The department is going to present the ordinance that the RPC approved before the Board. Ultimately, it is in the hands of the BOS what to do at that point. There are a few challenges. One is to make sure East LA is included – what amount or what number would you choose? The study wasn't able to recommend one. So to apply the ordinance to these submarkets, what would be the number and the methodology to choose? That would be the question to continue, absent a supporting study. Fortunately, there is the opportunity to update boundaries and update the study. The ordinance gives the county flexibility to do so, but the data would have to support what's in the study. There may be a lag in terms of the data, but data would need to reflect this construction has happened and need to support set-asides. The other thing to keep in mind is that the reason for the study is that state law says if you're going to impose affordable housing of 15% or more, it needs to be supported by a feasibility study. I am not a lawyer, but there is a legal risk of imposing a requirement that doesn't have a study to support it. County leaders would need to make an assessment in order to move forward.
 - **Greg Bonett (via chat):** “The data always lags behind reality, and studies will lag behind the data. If we allow the policy to lag behind the studies, this policy will be years behind the reality on the ground and we will see development with no affordable units in East LA.”
 - **Maria Brenes (via chat):** “What supporting data can HICP leverage to advance East LA being included?”
 - **Rosa Soto (via chat):** “Good question Maria- we will explore! Also ask for DPH's help.”
- **Pamela Agustín:** Is July 29 the date this goes to the Board of Supervisors?
 - **Ayala Scott:** No, that is the other ordinance. We don't have a date yet for the Board of Supervisors hearing for the Inclusionary Housing Ordinance.
- **Pamela Agustín:** I remember you and Heather coming to us to present this ordinance at the recommendation of this body. I'm personally really upset. We heard from your presentation, we gave feedback, and there was no expectation that East LA would have been removed... I want to encourage the Regional Planning Commission, and this body, if significant changes like this take place, they have to be brought back. It wasn't that we as HICP gave a green light, we gave feedback. Had we known Eastside would be excluded, there would have been more work done. We need to think about every single mechanism to prevent displacement of more community members, especially when what we expect to happen in the Eastside through Opportunity Zones is more redevelopment. Would redevelopment of older buildings be included, and if no, what can we do?
 - **Monica Alcaraz (via chat):** “I agree with Pam, this is not acceptable. YES PAM, we can't wait!!!”

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Ayala Scott: This ordinance would apply to new construction. Fortunately in the area of existing buildings, the more you can give us info about what is happening in existing sites, the more we can work with the tools the county has available, such as regulating construction, tenant protections, and extending rent control. The county has other tools to address what we know are very serious issues with displacement, so thinking holistically and continuing discussion on those fronts would be fruitful. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Natalie Godinez (via chat): “So much of the rental housing is in terrible conditions. Tenant protections for current housing is not enough. ● Greg Bonett: To echo what Maria and Pam have said, we know conditions are changing quickly on the Eastside and even if the study did not support including this submarket right now, that could change so quickly. We need to get policies in place now in anticipation of future conditions. Even in two intervening years between studies, rent had gone up 15-20%. I think it's really important this requirement be in place now, and that we not wait for more data to be collected, or we will be years behind. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Guadalupe Duran-Medina: We are really concerned, as an office, that East LA is being carved out. We know that there are major infrastructure projects and investments triggered by Opportunity Zones that can lead to increases in rents in terms of market changes and property prices. As a county, we know that leaving out East LA is not a good idea and leaves us and other areas being carved out unprotected. We really think there are other criteria that need to be considered to make sure East LA is put back in. We know there is a need for inclusionary zoning countywide, but we don't think it's right for the Board to act on it right now without East LA being protected. ○ Ayala Scott: We are in the phase where we want to move forward and we want to see what additional changes the Board of Supervisors wants to recommend. ● Heather Hays: Thank you, we appreciate your time. There is a lot here we'd like to follow up on. We can communicate in writing additional questions and comments.
V. Homeless Response	<p>Project Roomkey by Monica Alcaraz, Exodus Recovery</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● This handout of Frequently Asked Questions about Project Roomkey was circulated to attendees via email. ● Overview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Project Room key is for people at high risk of COVID infection, including people experiencing homelessness. ○ 35 sites in operation in LA county ○ 3559 clients currently served ○ It is a 90 day program ○ Participants are prioritized for housing ● Referral Process <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ People are being placed in Project Roomkey rooms by service providers who assess them first to ensure they qualify for the program.

- When outreach workers are in contact with someone experiencing homelessness, they use an app created for this process to ask questions, determine if they qualify, and recommend Project Roomkey if they are willing.
- Individuals looking for help who are not yet in touch with an outreach worker or case manager can call 800-548-6047, or 211.
- **Capacity vs. Need**
 - The people at LAHSA, the County and the City are all working hard and are doing a really good job trying to get people into the program, though there are challenges without sufficient available beds and willing hotels and motels.
 - Providers who are providing outreach and case managements are now being asked to run a project on site. Sometimes it takes two weeks to a month to get a site up and running, even as more sites and providers are being recruited.
 - This is a 90-day program, and even though we want to make sure that those going into the program don't go back to the streets, the reality is that the housing stock is not available and many will. Even for those who are matched to housing opportunities, that process takes time. The norm is 3 months, 6 months, or a year for clients to have access once they are matched.
 - Nonetheless, providers are working hard, those on the street who are very vulnerable are accepting, and big hotels participating.
- **COVID Monitoring and Program Rules**
 - Often, the more expensive the location, the stricter they are with the rules. The facility is only accessible to people who are part of the program, and they are not allowed to bring others in.
 - Some clients are checked 2-3 times a day for COVID.
 - There is one client in the program who contracted the virus after being admitted, and who is currently quarantining in her room.

Questions & Comments

- **Cecilia Aguilar (via chat):** What happens to them after the 90-day period?
 - **Monica Alcaraz:** The goal is to get them into another program. We are not sure how long Project Roomkey will last. It is currently a 90-day program, but it could be longer depending on the state of emergency and what is going on around us. There will be people who have to go back to the streets.
- **Monica Alcaraz (via chat, in response to a question to panelists):** “Yes, couples are accepted. Not all locations accept pets, but many do^[P]_[SEP], so people [with pets] would be assigned to those locations.”
- **Monica Alcaraz (via chat, in response to a question to panelists):** “The contracts are for a certain number of beds, not all 15,000 beds. But I will get clarification for you Pam.”
- **Heather Hays:** Thank you, Monica, for your commentary on resources and limitations for this program.

VI. Quarantine Housing

Update on COVID-19 Housing and Impacts on Eastside Families by Waqas Rehman, PE, Office of Supervisor Hilda L. Solis

- **Background**

- Thank you and good morning. In March, the Governor issued a shelter-at-home order, followed by counties and other jurisdictions' emergency proclamations. Since then, the County has been working with the state and the CDC to prepare for the COVID-19 pandemic. The Office of Emergency Management (OEM) has been acting as the central command to deal with the pandemic response with all relevant county departments.
- Response strategies have included obtaining respirators and N-95 masks, increasing surge capacity at hospitals, ramping up testing sites, and leasing hotels and motels for quarantine housing and medical sheltering.
- Given the lack of a vaccine or self-isolation options for many individuals, quarantine is the most effective strategy to prevent spread.

- **Housing Capacity**

- The county goal was to set up an initial 2,000 beds for Project Roomkey for medical sheltering, but the county never reached this goal.
- Currently we have 700 beds available, but at no given time have more than 50% of these been occupied. They are currently occupied at less than one-third of capacity, so the number of beds are slowly being ramped down.

- **Quarantine Sites**

- The goal is to make quarantine-housing sites geographically accessible to anyone in need.
- There are two sites in the first district: in Bell Gardens, the Mayfield Hotel and in downtown, the Sheraton Fairplex.
- Similar to Project Roomkey, these sites have been leased for three months with the possibility of extensions.
- Some are there to serve a more acute population, such as MLK Hospital. There is a ramp-down process there as well, but they will still have more than triple capacity to address any upcoming surge.
-

- **Procedures**

- Clients are transported through a medical county EMS contract.
- County OEM is making sure to follow CDC guidelines to sanitize and clean up the facilities.
- The county is partnering with restaurants and agencies for food and wraparound services.

Questions and Comments:

- **Andrea Marchetti (via chat):** "Due to the impact of the health crisis on local government budgets, Measure H revenues are decreasing and this will impact funding for the majority of homeless programs and strategies across the county. The impact is still being worked out by the Los Angeles Homeless Authority and by the Los Angeles County Chief Executive Office. With much attention and resources going to Project Room Key (rightfully so), we hope that the good work done to strengthen the homeless infrastructure will be maintained."
 - **Waqas Rehman:** Great point Andrea. This is a big concern as well.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Heather Hays: In the interest of time, please leave any further questions in the chat, and we can prepare a written response. We will circulate a full copy of the chat log.
<p>VII. 3rd and Dangler Community Benefit Agreement</p>	<p>Review of Proposed 3rd and Dangler Community Benefit Agreement by Guadalupe Duran-Medina, Office of Supervisor Hilda L. Solis, and Zoe Kranemann, National CORE (Project Manager)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Guadalupe Duran-Medina: We are working on an exciting project in East LA on 3rd and Dangler, including 78 units of affordable housing, 39 units of permanent supportive housing, and the rest general family housing. The developer has had extensive outreach in the East LA area with residents CBOs in the area. The project aligns in many aspects with the priorities determined by this group. We wanted to welcome Zoe Kranemann, the project manager for this project from National CORE, to briefly present on the project and the proposed community benefits agreement. (A draft of the proposed CBA was circulated to attendees via email.) ● Who We Are: National Community Resistance <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Founded in 1992 ○ Total Units: 9,000+ ○ Total Residents: 27,000+ ○ One of the Nation’s Largest Nonprofit Housing Developers ○ Full-Service Organization <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Acquisitions ■ Planning & Development ■ Financing ■ Construction ■ Property Management ■ Compliance ■ Asset Management ■ Social Services ● National CORE is an Owner-Operator and provides onsite property management along with other services including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Onsite security and a 24-hour presence of highly trained property management staff ○ Provide tenant screening and background checks ○ Manage unit occupancy ○ Implement parking management ○ Coordination with County and local nonprofits on tenant eligibility ● Development Timeline <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ We have secured financing from LACDA ○ We are also waiting to hear about funding from Affordable Housing Sustainable Communities from the state, which we applied for in February 202

- At the same time, we are working with the community to be responsive the design process, programming, and CBA

- **Outreach to Date**

- August 1, 2019 – Salazar Senior Group
- August 6, 2019 – National Night Out – Saybrook Park
- October 1, 2019 – Maravilla Advisory Committee
- October 29, 2019 – East LA Chamber of Commerce
- November 5, 2019 – Maravilla Advisory Committee
- November 6, 2019 – Community At Large Meeting
- November 30, 2019 – People for Mobility Justice - Bike and Walk Audit
- December 7, 2019 – Community At Large Meeting
- January 18, 2020 – Community Open House
- April 6, 2020 – Stakeholder Focus Group
- April 15, 2020 – Stakeholder Focus Group
- April 22, 2020 – Stakeholder Focus Group
- April 30, 2020 - Stakeholder Focus Group
- May 8, 2020 – Stakeholder Focus Group
- May 13, 2020 – Stakeholder Focus Group
- May 20, 2020 – Stakeholder Focus Group
- May 29, 2020 – Stakeholder Focus Group
- Mailers sent to residents within 500 feet of the project site
- Partnered with members of the local community to disperse notices •
- Distributed flyers to community organizations (i.e. library, Centro Maravilla) •
- Door to door deliveries

- **Ideal Location**

- 3rd St. and Dangler St.
- Along Metro Gold line, less than 0.2 miles from two Gold line stations
- High density of low to moderate-income households
- Very close to another CORE property - Alta Vista

- **Scenarios: Two Different Funding Schemes**

- Plan A: Affordable Housing Sustainable Communities (Depends on receiving state financing)
 - 78 units (20 1 studios, 49 1bds, 9 2bds)
 - Transportation improvements + Ambitious Sustainability goals
 - 770 SF Community room
 - 810 SF Public plaza
 - 1,840 SF Courtyard
 - Range of other community benefits

- We are co-applicants with LA County, so the Department of Public Works would also get about \$2 million to repair sidewalks for accessibility, replace bus shelters, and provide a walking path in Belleview Park.
 - Additional benefits would include programming such as training for bike mechanics through partnership with Mobility for Justice and tree planting
 - Plan B: 9% Tax Credit (alternative to state funding)
 - 78 units (21 studios, 36 1bds, 15 2bds, 63bds)
 - 1,875 SF Community room
 - 1,200 SF Public Plaza
 - 2,745 SF landscaped courtyard
 - Range of other community benefits
 - In this scenario, we would acquire the parcel next door to make the development bigger and accommodate additional units with a larger footprint, larger community space, larger public plaza, and a rooftop garden.
- **Permanent Supportive Housing**
 - Both scenarios include permanent supportive housing thanks to LACDA funding.
 - 39 units will be Permanent Supportive Housing units and the other 38 will be reserved for low income individuals and/or families.
 - Permanent Supportive Housing units are for individuals who lack a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence or are at risk of homelessness, and who may need mental health services
 - Comprehensive services and staff/case workers are available onsite, including a full-time case manager on site for people experiencing or at risk of homelessness.
 - We will conduct targeted outreach and work with CES to ensure that those in most need and/or are experiencing homelessness in East Los Angeles are engaged and ready well before the leasing process begins
 - According to the 2019 Point in Time Count, there were 604 individuals experiencing homelessness in East Los Angeles
 - National CORE has partnered with People Assisting the Homeless (PATH) to provide onsite services to help residents transition out of non-subsidized housing such as:
 - Financial literacy and economic mobility courses
 - Therapy and mental health
 - Connect residents with a variety of community resources tailored to meet resident and community needs.
- **Architectural Renderings** [\(see slides\)](#)
 - The renderings in the slide reflect recent redesigns based on community input and feedback on the initial renderings.
- **Community Benefits**
 - LOCAL HIRING

- **Guadalupe Duran-Medina:** We think this aligns with the key priorities raised by the HICP partnership. It will be important to document progress on these community benefits benchmarks to ensure follow-through. Today, we want to ask for the HIPC to be the sign-on entity to the CBA in order to be able to have ongoing monitoring and engagement, working with the developer to ensure these benefits are carried out. Ee felt this was an appropriate body to sign on with your support.

Questions & Comments:

- **Ozzie Lopez:** Zoe, thank you. I think it is important to look at HICP to be a part of the oversight. A slide you showed circled the area that the project sits in. I think it is important that if HICP signs on, we should identify stakeholders that live within the area to be a part of the oversight. I would be providing recommendations from afar, and I think it's extremely important from an inclusionary standpoint that we recommend members that live within the area where the project is taking place.
 - **A:** Definitely, that is a doable, wonderful idea.
- **Dulce Acosta:** I was in a meeting you held in December at the library. Can you share some of the concerns brought up by community members at that meeting?
 - **Zoe:** The key issues raised included the issue of parking, the height of building, privacy issues, and ensuring these units were marketed to the local community to ensure they have access. Given that, the project was amended in terms of height at the back. We took out units on the corner and eliminated balconies facing the alley and rear to address privacy. We increased parking from 46 to 87 units. We developed the early notification plan, to be included as part of the CBA to make sure there is follow-through on marketing the units to local residents. With regard to parking, a separate meeting was called with the Department of Public Works about a preferential parking district, with some folks who are interested in that being implemented in the surrounding area. There were also concerns that this wasn't the right place for a housing project in a commercial/transit corridor, and that the density of the housing would be more appropriate in a single-family zoning area. So there was a bit of NIMBYism, but many changes made to address valid concerns they have.
 - **Comment:** I was able to participate in a community meeting, and I want to note the Supervisor's Office and CCORE's efforts to address the issues brought up. I also agree that a local voice is essential.
- **Maria Benes:** Inner City Struggle began getting involved a couple months ago, before the pandemic, trying to be helpful in connecting non-profit infrastructure in unincorporated East LA to be aware of the project. Understanding from the data that housing insecurity is a big issue, it was really helpful to hear the feedback directly from the stewards from the safety net in unincorporated East LA. Some of the community benefits that Zoe outlined came from non-profit leadership, especially recruitment and outreach and ensuring that East LA residents would be able to leverage this resource. Frank from Barrio Planners raised the issue of art from an aesthetic component. Although our involvement hasn't been long, I think it has been rich. This is the type of work that HICP needs to be involved in. We need to be stewards of the CBA, to ensure there is accountability that this is a model that we can replicate for other projects. I know this has been moving fast and happening under the pandemic, so i appreciate everyone's time. I would be ready to move forward on this ask.

- **Guadalupe Duran Medina:** Given the discussion about inclusionary zoning earlier today, we need to create housing access with the community today. There is still a big gap: more than two-thirds of households spent over 30% of their income on housing, and over half spend a majority of their income on housing. They are severely rent burdened. Given the homeless counts, there are over 600 individuals experiencing homelessness. There are many seniors in poverty and students experiencing homelessness. This is an area still suffering from housing insecurity.
- **Frank Villalobos:** We spent a lot of time... [inaudible]... nowhere in the document is there anything addressing homelessness... If you couple that with the fact that 19,000 units were taken because of freeways over the last 15 years, you begin to understand why we are who we are in East LA. The housing that was taken out has never been replaced. Ownership is only at 25%. These are the conditions that perpetuate the need for low-income housing...
 - **Guadalupe Duran-Medina:** In response to Frank's comment about the need for different types of housing, we want to encourage that. Here we have an opportunity for rental, which is also a very appropriate, accessible, and much needed variety of housing.
- **Pamela Agustín (via chat):** "I am happy that the developer will seek to achieve the 50% aspirational local hire efforts that the HICP has committed to."
- **Rosa Soto (via chat):** "So the ask: 'Does HICP want to engage in a CBA with the developer to support implementation of community benefits?' ... The project has alignment of its community benefits with priorities set out by community through HICP engagement over 3 years; some members have participated in 3rd and Dangler outreach events and committees."
 - **Yolanda Duarte (via chat):** "HICP needs to engage in a CBA with the developer to support implementation of community benefits-this is action that demonstrates what our community does in order to address housing needs in unincorporated ELA and garner benefits."
 - **Maria Brenes (via chat):** "Should someone make a motion? I can if need be,"
 - **Pamela Agustín (via chat):** "Is the recommendation that we steward the CBA collectively or create a working group?"
 - **Rosa Soto (via chat):** "Sample CBA is included in the packet for today. We can do both. I agree that a working group or 'oversight committee'."
 - **Maria Brenes (via chat):** "I believe steward collectively? But a working group could be helpful to track."
 - **Pamela Agustín (via chat):** "General Hospital Reuse, Restorative care village, women's and children's hospital, Local Hire, Opportunity Zones, Biotech, and housing policies are all standing topics on our agenda for HICP. I support the sign on, but would personally prefer that oversight to the CBA be done in a working group. And that it should not be a standing item on our already packed standing items unless absolutely necessary. Maybe we get an update every 2 months or 3 months? But of course trust the leadership of the working group."
 - **Maria Brenes (via chat):** "I think Pam's suggestions works too."
 - **Andrea Marchetti (via chat):** "I agree a working group would be a good option and can report to the larger group."

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Maria Brenes (via chat and audio): “A motion for HICP to adopt a CBA for 3rd and Dangler Affordable Housing project and for a working group to be created to monitor it.” <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Monica Alcaraz: I second the motion. ○ Heather Hays: We can endorse the motion at this time, but we cannot take a formal vote. There is a difference between voting and non-voting members. We have particular rules based on our bylaws. A motion and a vote can’t happen in the same meeting, but we can express interest in moving forward. We don’t need a formal vote for HICP to work on this issue. The vote would be to endorse the CBA. ● A Zoom poll was circulated asking “Does HICP want to engage in a CBA with the developer to support implementation of community benefits?” <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 14 out of 15 respondents answered “Yes.” ○ One respondent answered “No.” ● Greg Bonnet: If there is general support for this today, is there a time between now and our next HICP meeting to make potential changes to the CBA, and have a smaller group look at it more closely? I want to make sure we are not delaying the process in any way. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Guadalupe Duran Medina: Yes, there is not a problem with that. If there is a desire for a subcommittee to work on this, it would be good to have it ready by the next meeting on July 10th so we can have a vote. As long as this happens within the next month, it should not delay the process. ● Manuel Bernal: In my humble opinion, the CBA should be overseen by any of the numerous government agencies. In the interest of our time and energy, HICP should focus on items not overseen by anyone else. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Maria Brenes: I am in agreement to work on the sample CBA presented, and will work to present a draft CBA at the July meeting, taking into account Ozzie’s and Manuel’s concerns. Even if we do engage in oversight, we can delegate oversight of certain parts of it to other agencies. ● Alex Villalobos (via chat): “As a resident and business person of East L.A., I wish to participate on the CBA.” ● Yolanda Duarte (via chat): “Count me in.” ● Andrea Marchetti (via chat): “... I am also available to be part of the committee.”
VIII. Closing	<p>Heather thanked everyone for their time and participation. Due to time limitations, the meeting feedback poll was deferred.</p> <p>Next Steps</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The meeting recording, slides, presentations, chat transcript, and meeting notes will be circulated via email and posted on the HICP website’s Resource Library (https://www.hicpla.org/resource-library). ● The next HICP Meeting will be held virtually on Friday, July 10, 2020.

HEALTH INNOVATION COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP - JUNE 2020 MEETING | June 5, 2020

Time	Participant Name	Chat Comment
8:42 AM	Anna Parra:	Good Morning All, You should receive the materials shortly via email
8:46 AM	Anna Parra:	Hi Guadalupe, are you calling in via phone only?
8:47 AM	Anna Parra:	Good morning Cecilia
8:50 AM	Victoria Torres:	Materials were sent. Please let me know if you did not receive.
8:55 AM	Alex Villalobos:	Good morning!
8:56 AM	Heather Hays:	Good morning all!
8:56 AM	Heather Hays:	Welcome to the June meeting
9:07 AM	Maria Brenes (ICS):	Thank you to SUP Solis
9:17 AM	Monica Alcaraz:	Yes yolanda
9:38 AM	Rosa Soto:	We also invite you to add comments to chat
9:39 AM	Maria Brenes (ICS):	Black Lives Matter
9:39 AM	Rudy:	Community control means power and freedom, to me. There is no choice but to fully support community control.
9:39 AM	Monica Alcaraz:	I am just very sad.
9:42 AM	Rosa Soto:	Representation and engagement are vital to understanding
9:44 AM	Rudy:	Frank, I invite you to join us in recognizing that saying that Black Lives Matter does not mean that we don't matter. It simply asks us to recognize the great injustices impacting our Black brothers and sisters. I'm in. Join us.
9:45 AM	Heather Hays:	REMINDER** Please chat to "All Panelists and Attendees" to make sure everyone can read your comments
9:45 AM	Maria Brenes (ICS):	I agree with Rudy! Solidarity is important!
9:45 AM	Heather Hays:	Default is All Panelists - select second option
9:46 AM	Yolanda Duarte:	monica- **I share your sadness
9:52 AM	Yolanda Duarte:	Our actions individually and as a collective group of agencies will speak louder than any statement we can make. We need to stand with the Black Lives movement and keep the institutions accountable equal rights equal justice
9:52 AM	Ruby Rivera:	I think that 2 things we need to do. 1) We say that we are in support of the People's Budget, That we want LA City to take action in support of black lives. 2) Make a REAL effort to center black experiences within our spaces.
9:52 AM	Ruby Rivera:	And I agree with Maria Brenes
9:53 AM	Ari G Arambula/Latino Equality Alliance:	Monica called for a formal letter of support to elected officials. that is more specific action than just an open statement.
9:53 AM	Natalie Godinez:	Thank you Ruby 2) is so important.
9:53 AM	Ruby Rivera:	For example how many participants within the HICP space serve black community members, are black folks, etc.
9:54 AM	Monica Alcaraz:	I cant vote?
9:54 AM	Ruby Rivera:	We need to do more than discuss though
9:55 AM	Rudy:	Thank you Pam for holding space for this!
9:55 AM	Greg Bonett (Public Counsel):	Yes - thank you Pam.
9:56 AM	Rosa Soto:	Thank you Pam for stepping into leadership to hold this space

9:56 AM	Ari G Arambula/Latino Equality Alliance:	our orgs are well versed and experienced in racial justice advocacy. we can leverage that experience by sporting and pressing policy and funding priorities.
10:00 AM	Ruby Rivera:	Ari I would be careful of that statement which is pretty general. I think we as organizations should take a moment to be truly introspective and see what are our opportunities for growth. But yes I agree that we need to take action to press the city and county in support for the policy and funding priorities that are currently demanded
10:01 AM	Rudy:	WOW! This is surprising. No inclusionary zoning on the Eastside?
10:01 AM	Rudy:	Curious about how "financially feasible" is defined?
10:03 AM	Greg Bonett (Public Counsel):	The data always lags behind reality, and studies will lag behind the data. If we allow the policy to lag behind the studies, this policy will be years behind the reality on the ground and we will see development with no affordable units in East LA.
10:04 AM	Maria Brenes (ICS):	It is outrageous that Eastside is excluded - undermines all of our work!
10:07 AM	Rudy:	Hmmmm..."rates of return" for whom?
10:07 AM	Natalie Godinez:	What about something that benefits the community? We need affordable housing in the Eastside.
10:07 AM	Monica Alcaraz:	do they see that this is why our communities are vulnerable for displacement and not having these protections in not
10:08 AM	Rudy:	Often, developers have unreasonable expectations of return. We need to be open to thinking about creative ways to build housing. We can't keep doing things the same way.
10:14 AM	Maria Brenes (ICS):	What supporting data can HICP leverage to advance East LA being included?
10:15 AM	Rosa Soto:	Good question Maria- we will explore! also ask for DPH's help
10:17 AM	Monica Alcaraz:	I agree with Pam, this is not acceptable.
10:17 AM	Monica Alcaraz:	YES PAM
10:21 AM	Monica Alcaraz:	we can't wait!!!
10:22 AM	Natalie Godinez:	So much of the rental housing is in terrible conditions. Tenant protections for current housing is not enough.
10:28 AM	cecilia:	What happens to them after the 90 day period?
10:32 AM	Monica Alcaraz:	Yes couples are accepted.
10:32 AM	Monica Alcaraz:	not all locations accept pets but many do
10:33 AM	Monica Alcaraz:	So people would be assigned to those locations
10:38 AM	Monica Alcaraz:	They contracts are for a certain of number of beds not all 15,000 beds. but I will get clarification for you Pam.
10:41 AM	Monica Alcaraz:	Great point Andrea. This is a big concern as well
10:42 AM	AMarchetti:	I just noticed my comments were only for panelists:
10:43 AM	Maria Brenes (ICS):	Is Manuel on the line?
10:43 AM	AMarchetti:	Due to the impact of the health crisis on local government budgets, Measure H revenues are decreasing and this will impact funding for the majority of homeless programs and strategies across the county. The impact is still being worked out by the Los Angeles Homeless Authority and by the Los Angeles County Chief Executive Office. With much attention and resources going to Project Room Key (rightfully so), we hope that the good work done to strengthen the homeless
10:51 AM	Monica Alcaraz:	PATH is great!
10:52 AM	Heather Hays:	We understand we are at time, but hope you will be able to continue on the line with us.
10:53 AM	Rosa Soto:	Thank you everyone additional time! We will probably go until 11am
10:53 AM	Maria Brenes (ICS):	I can stay on

10:54 AM	Greg Bonett (Public Counsel):	I can stay too
10:54 AM	Heather Hays:	Meeting highlights and chat transcript and follow-on answers in writing will be emailed to attendees and posted on the HICP website https://www.hicpla.org/resource-library
11:01 AM	cecilia:	I agree!
11:02 AM	Rosa Soto:	So the ask: " Does HICP want to engage in a CBA with the developer to support implementation of community benefits?"
11:04 AM	Rosa Soto:	The project has alignment of its community benefits with priorities set out by community through HICP engagement over 3 years; some members have participated in 3rd and Dangler
11:05 AM	Rosa Soto:	outreach events and committees
11:07 AM	Pamela Agustin:	I am happy that the developer will seek to achieve the 50% aspirational local hire efforts that the HICP has committed to.
11:12 AM	Pamela Agustin:	General Hospital Reuse, Restorative care village, women's and children's hospital, Local Hire, Opportunity Zones, Biotech, and housing policies are all standing topics on our agenda for HICP.
11:12 AM	Maria Brenes (ICS):	Should someone make a motion? I can if need be
11:13 AM	Pamela Agustin:	Is the recommendation that we steward the CBA collectively or create a working group?
11:14 AM	Rosa Soto:	Sample CBA is included in the packet for today. We can do both. I agree that a working group or "oversight committee".
11:14 AM	Maria Brenes (ICS):	I believe steward collectively? But a working group could be helpful to track
11:14 AM	Pamela Agustin:	I support the sign on, but would personally prefer that oversight to the CBA be done in a working group.
11:14 AM	Maria Brenes (ICS):	I think Pam's suggestions works too
11:14 AM	Pamela Agustin:	And that it should not be a standing item on our already packed standing items unless absolutely necessary.
11:15 AM	Pamela Agustin:	Maybe we get an update every 2 months or 3 months?
11:15 AM	Pamela Agustin:	But ofcourse trust the leadership of the working group.
11:16 AM	AMarchetti:	I agree workgroup would be a good option and can report to the larger group.
11:16 AM	Maria Brenes (ICS):	Motion for HICP to adopt a CBA for 3rd and Dangler Affordable Housing project - and a working group to be created to
11:17 AM	Yolanda Duarte:	HICP needs to engage in a CBA with the developer to support implementation of community benefits-this is action that demonstrates what our community does in order to address housing needs in unincorporated ELA and garner benefits
11:21 AM	Yolanda Duarte:	you are correct Heather
11:22 AM	Monica Alcaraz:	I want to be part of the committee
11:24 AM	Maria Brenes (ICS):	Good point Manuel
11:25 AM	Alex Villalobos:	As a resident and business person of East L.A. I wish to participate on the CBA
11:25 AM	Pamela Agustin:	Thank you everyone. I have to jump off for another call.
11:25 AM	Yolanda Duarte:	Count me in
11:26 AM	AMarchetti:	Unfortunately I have to leave to jump on another meeting. I am also available to be part of the committee.
11:27 AM	Monica Alcaraz:	Have to jump off thank you and let me know how I can support@
11:29 AM	Maria Brenes (ICS):	Thank you everyone!
11:29 AM	barahona:	Thank you everyone, great meeting